

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,467	06/25/2003	Gary K. Burma	H0003936 US	9362
128 7590 (770412008) HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. 101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN. NJ 07962-2245			EXAMINER	
			FORTUNA, JOSE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/608,467 BURMA, GARY K. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit José A. Fortuna 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 21-32 and 34-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 36-39 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21.34 and 35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 22-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 40-54 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/US)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/17/08

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/608,467 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 40-54 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct
from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the newly claimed systems and
devices do not include the sheet making structure of the original claims, only some parts of the
original claims are recited, and therefore, the newly added claims are distinct from said original
claims. Note also that the classification of newly added claim would be in different area than the
original claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 40-54 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 21 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a). This rejection is set forth in the prior Office action mailed on January 17, 2008. Application/Control Number: 10/608,467 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed on April 17, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the primary reference, DeLigt, does not teach the variation of the fan spray as claimed and that he actually teaches away from doing so. The examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reason.

• The claims are drawn to an apparatus/system/device and devices/system/apparatus are evaluated by what it is not by what it does. The reference teach a system that can inherently be used for the same purpose, i.e., the system has a binary control unit that can change one or more properties of the web or at the very least the use of such device to control one or more variables would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The incorporation by reference of the US Patent No. 3,989,085 clearly evidences that the fan spray is a result effective variable that needs to be controlled, so that they do not overlap excessively or that they do not overlap at all, column 3, lines 47-52. While applicant has discussed the primary reference, he has not discussed the examiner's arguments in relation to the obviousness of the changing e magnitude and shape of the actuators to obtain a better profile of the web in view of the recent advancement in microchips and microcomputers as indicated in previous office action. One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208

Application/Control Number: 10/608,467 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

 Applicant's arguments see remarks, filed on April 17, 2008, with respect to claims 22-24 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 22-24 has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this
Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).
Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,467

Art Unit: 1791

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José A. Fortuna whose telephone number is 571-272-1188. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/José A Fortuna/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791